

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

HUGH ROBINSON,

CV 05-321-ST

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

CHARLES DANIELS,

Defendant.

REDDEN, Judge:

On December 14, 2006, Magistrate Judge Stewart filed her Findings and Recommendation (doc. 40) that Mr. Hugh Robinson's Petition (doc. 1) for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. The matter is now before me. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). No objections have been timely filed. This relieves me of my obligation to give the factual findings de novo review. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); Simpson v. Lear Astronics Corp., 77 F.3d 1170, 1174-75 (9th Cir. 1996).

Having reviewed the legal principles de novo, I find no error.

Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Stewart's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 40), and the Petition (doc. 1) for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 is DISMISSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 22nd day of January, 2007.

/s/ James A. Redden
James A. Redden
United States District Judge